



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

HW

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/600,419   | 06/20/2003  | Hongxin Song         | MP0275              | 6709             |
| 26200  | 7590        | 03/07/2006           |                     |                  |
| FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.<br>P.O BOX 1022<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                      | RIZK, SAMIR WADIE   |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2133                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/600,419             | SONG ET AL.         |  |
|                          | Examiner<br>Sam Rizk   | Art Unit<br>2133    |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sam Rizk. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Kirkland (applicant Attorney). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Behrens et al. US patent no. 5329554.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

#### Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,  
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Attorney Kirkland explained the applicant key invention with respect to Behrens as follows:

1. The applicant invention is focused on the retry mode where bad data is averag'd over many bits (more than two bits).

2. The applicant attorney reviewed Behrens Fig. 6 with respect to the ACQ/TRK and Center/Side sampling operating modes (reference character (606) MUX).

3. The IDS filed on 6/20/2003 has advertantly missed the listing of references. This has been later corrected in the IDS filed on 8/27/2003. The Examiner has agreed to withdraws all objections to the IDS and will consider the IDS filed on 8/27/2003 in the next office action.

*A* ALBERT DECADY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2180

## FISH &amp; RICHARDSON P.C.

500 Arguello Street  
Suite 500  
Redwood City, California  
94063-1526

Telephone  
650 839-5070

Faxsimile  
650 839-5071

Web Site  
[www.fr.com](http://www.fr.com)

Date February 15, 2006

To Examiner Sam Rizk  
Telephone: (571) 272-8191

Facsimile number (571) 273-8191

From Marina Lewis  
Patent Paralegal/Case Manager  
(650) 839-5126

Re Appln. Serial No. 10/600,419  
Docket No.: MP0275 / 13361-045001  
Title: Improvement the Performance in Retry Mode

Number of pages  
including this page 2

Message  
Examiner Rizk:

Please find attached PTO Form 413A with a brief description of the issues to be discussed in your interview with Mark Kirkland on February 22, 2006.

Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions or require any further information.

Marina A. Lewis  
Patent Paralegal/Case Manager

NOTE: This facsimile is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately call us collect at 650 839-5070 to arrange for its return. Thank you.

FISH &amp; RICHARDSON P.C.

SUBSTITUTE PTOL-43A (OS-OS)

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| Application No. : 10/600,419   | First Named Applicant: Hongxin Song et al.       |   |
| Examiner: Samir Wadie Rizk   | Art unit: 2133                                   | Status of Application: Pending                |
| <b>Tentative Participants:</b>   |  |   |
| (1) Sam Rizk   | (2) Mark D. Kirkland                             |   |
| (3) _____  | (4) _____  |   |
| Proposed Date of Interview: 2/22/06  | Proposed Time: 12:00 PM                          |   |
| <b>Type of Interview Requested:</b>  |  |   |
| (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Telephonic  | (2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal | (3) <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference |
| Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO |  |   |
| if yes, provide brief description: _____   |  |   |

## Issues To Be Discussed

| Issues<br>(Rej., Obj., etc) | Claims<br>Fig. #s | Prior<br>Art | Discussed                | Agreed                   | Not Agreed               |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) _____                   | _____             | _____        | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) _____                   | _____             | _____        | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) _____                   | _____             | _____        | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4) _____                   | _____             | _____        | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

 Continuation Sheet Attached

## Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

1. Discuss claim 1 limitations in particular, limitation of "averaging circuit responsive to the buffer and the detector to cause interpretation, by the detector during a retry mode...." with emphasis on retry mode.
2. Discuss Behrens reference with particularity to the use of an averaged signal in relation to the detector shown in Figs. 3 and 6, with particular emphasis on the average signal 631 mux 608, average signal 639 and mux 640 shown in Fig. 6.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)